

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

and that it was an accurate and correct representation. Another X-ray expert, called by the opposition, expressed the opinion that the picture was of little or no value. The point was made that it was error to allow the jury to take the skiograph or X-ray picture with them when they retired to consider their verdict. The law in Illinois permits "papers read in evidence, other than depositions," to be carried by the jury into the jury room. The court holds that skiographs produced in evidence in a trial before a jury are "read" in evidence, within the definition of the word "read," as given by Webster, viz., to discover or undertand by characters, marks, features, etc; to gather the meaning of by inspection; to learn by observation.

ELECTIONS—STATUS OF CONFESTING CANDIDATE AT A VOID ELECTION—SEC. 145A, VA. CODE 1904.—In the case of Nelson v. Sneed, 83 S. W. 786, a bill in equity to contest an election alleged that the contestant was in fact elected, and the election returns were false and procured by corrupt practices, rendering the election in certain districts void, and requiring the returns therefrom to be disregarded. The Supreme Court of Tennessee holds, in the first place, that the suit must be considered as one by the contestant, brought in his own right, to recover the office. It is then held that because of the irregularities alleged in the bill no valid election was held, and, this being the case, the contestant was not entitled to the office. The court then points out that the statute provides that a suit by a citizen to have an election declared void must be brought within twenty days after the election. The net result of these holdings appears to be that in spite of the void election the other candidate retains the office.

Quaere, as to the effect of the Barksdale Pure Election Law (Sec. 145a, Va. Code 1904), which declares in effect that if it is alleged in a contest and is proven that the provisions thereof have been violated by the contestee, or his friends, "then said election shall be declared void, unless it also appears that the contestant is entitled to the office for which he is contesting."

Personal Injury—Contracts Releasing Employers From Liability for Injuries.—The Supreme Court of New York, in Johnson v. Fargo, 90 New York Supplement, 725, declares the usual contract releasing an employer from liability for injuries which may befall the employee to be void as against the public policy. It is stated that contracts breaking down the common-law liability, and relieving persons from just penalties for their negligent and improper conduct, are not favored by the law, and will not be given an enforcement beyond that demanded by their strict construction. The court distinguishes the case from the Express Company Cases, 20 Sup. Ct. R. 385, and points out at some length the evils which would result from upholding such a contract. This is the first case involving this class of agreements which has been passed upon by the appellate courts of New York.

CONTRACTS FOR INDEFINITE TERM—RIGHT TO TERMINATE—In the case of *Hickey* v. *Kiam*, 83 S. W. 716 the court passes upon a contract made by a letter and accepted by a telegram, in which a position was offered at a certain salary,